

Weekly Review

Week ending 28th January 2011

The rioting and discontent in Egypt occupied much of the headlines last week. This comes barely a week after Tunisia's social unrest led to a new government being announced on 17 January. The Tunisian uprising came as a consequence of an unemployed man setting fire to himself because officials prevented him from selling vegetables on the streets of Sidi Bouzid without permission. The unrest in Tunisia came as something of a surprise as the state had been notable for its stability albeit with a strict government. Egypt's authoritarian leader, President Hosni Mubarak, has led the country for 30 years but this bout of unrest has come as public sentiment turned on the back of a perfect storm of corruption, undemocratic institutions, inflation and unemployment. This change required a catalyst, however, and this came in the form of the overthrowing of President Zine al-Abidine Ben Ali in Tunisia. The situation in Egypt has greater significance for both geopolitics and the capital markets than the precursor in Tunisia. This is due to a number of reasons including Egypt's population (the largest Arab state with 84.5 million inhabitants) as well as Egypt's relatively large GDP and its function as a major trade route between West and East. Egypt has two main security forces and it is likely that the allegiance of the army and the riot police hold the key to power. Presently it appears that both are siding with the incumbent, but interestingly the release of the army onto the streets in an attempt to back up the riot police actually led to cheers from protesters, believing that the army will show a degree of restraint which is increasingly absent from the heavily outnumbered riot police. So far the army has been demonstrating good will towards the protesters, but if the ruling regime's stance were to stiffen the amount of hardware on the streets of Cairo would result in significant casualties. If the riots continue it is possible that senior members of the military will urge President Mubarak to stand down, which may reduce the risk of bloodshed. Another source of risk for the country is the economic impact of the unrest. Most shops and businesses remain closed in the capital, whilst Nissan has halted production in the country for a week and has urged non-Egyptian employees to leave the country. This resonates with another risk to the country's economy, namely the potential for reductions in

tourism. Presently this accounts for approximately 5% of GDP and the continuation of the disturbance would see the flow of tourists dry up. Indeed, the US, Japan and China are preparing to evacuate their citizens.

Whilst this disturbance is notable, its significance is greater than merely its impact to the world on a GDP weighted basis. This is because Egypt is a pivotal country in the Middle East in both a political sense and an economic sense. Egypt is the home of the Suez Canal, which is the route taken by 7.5% of the globe's sea trade. What is also notable is that the cause of these protests, aside from the government regime, is symptomatic of the imbalances facing the global economy presently. As the funds from various initiatives such as Quantitative Easing flow into the global economy, a consequence of the excess liquidity must surely be the risk of inflation. This may not be an immediate concern in the developed world, where capacity is not constrained following the financial crisis, but in the developing world, lauded for their relative immunity to the recession, capacity constraints result in excess liquidity risking inflationary pressures. A further concern is the risk of contagion emanating from Egypt to other states. It is possible that other populations will be watching Tunisia's result with envy. If Egypt's protests create the same result, undemocratic governments across the region will become more anxious.

The markets were mixed last week, with the US and UK down a touch in local currency terms whilst Europe and Japan gained. The MSCI World Index was flat. Understandably, the Emerging Markets were weighed upon by the disruption in Egypt, with the MSCI GEM Index returning -0.9% for the week. Year to date the GEM Index has also lagged the developed markets, with returns of 1.8% compared to -2.1% respectively. Government paper posted positive returns, with the JPM GBI adding 0.3% in US Dollar terms. The commodity markets remained relatively strong, with the Rogers Broad Commodity Index adding 0.6%. Gold was softer, however, with a return of -1.8%, bringing its year to date return to -6.5% in US Dollars.

Returns to 28 January 2011

Asset Class/Region	Index	Currency	Week	Month to date	Year to date
Equities					
United States	S&P 500 NR	USD	-0.5	1.6	1.6
United Kingdom	FTSE All Share TR	GBP	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
Continental Europe	MSCI Europe ex UK NR	EUR	0.3	3.0	3.0
Japan	Topix TR	JPY	1.0	2.3	2.3
Australia	S&P/ASX 300 TR	AUD	0.4	0.6	0.6
Global	MSCI World NR	USD	0.0	1.8	1.8
Global emerging markets	MSCI World Emerging markets TR	USD	-0.9	-2.1	-2.1
Bonds					
US Treasuries	JP Morgan United States Government Bond Index TR	USD	0.5	0.2	0.2
US Treasuries (inflation protected)	Barclays Capital U.S. Government Inflation Linked TR	USD	0.5	0.2	0.2
US Corporate (investment grade)	Barclays Capital U.S. Corporate Investment Grade TR	USD	0.6	0.5	0.5
US High yield	Barclays Capital U.S. High Yield 2% Issuer Cap TR	USD	0.6	2.2	2.2
UK Gilts	JP Morgan United Kingdom Government Bond Index TR	GBP	0.3	-2.0	-2.0
UK Corporate (investment grade)	Merrill Lynch Sterling Non Gilts TR	GBP	0.4	-0.6	-0.6
Euro Government Bonds	Citigroup EMU GBI TR	EUR	-0.5	-0.7	-0.7
Euro Corporate (investment grade)	Barclays Capital Euro Aggregate Corporate TR	EUR	0.1	-0.5	-0.5
Euro High yield	Merrill Lynch Euro High Yield 3% constrained TR	EUR	0.3	2.5	2.5
Japanese Government	JP Morgan Japan Government Bond Index TR	JPY	0.1	-0.6	-0.6
Australian Government	JP Morgan Australia GBI TR	AUD	0.8	0.9	0.9
Global Government bonds	JP Morgan Global GBI	USD	0.3	-0.5	-0.5
Global Bonds	Citigroup World Broad Investment Grade (WBIG) TR	USD	0.2	-0.1	-0.1
Global Convertible bonds	UBS Global Convertible Bond	USD	0.4	1.6	1.6
Emerging Market Bonds	JP Morgan EMBI +	USD	-1.0	-0.9	-0.9

Source: RMB Asset Management / Bloomberg / Lipper Hindsight. January 2011.

Returns to 28 January 2011

Asset Class/Region	Index	Currency	Week	Month to date	Year to date
Property					
US Property securities	MSCI US REIT TR	USD	1.5	1.8	1.8
UK Property securities	FTSE EPRA/NAREIT United Kingdom TR	GBP	0.4	-0.3	-0.3
Europe ex UK Property securities	FTSE EPRA/NAREIT Developed Europe ex UK TR	EUR	1.2	-1.1	-1.1
Australian property securities	FTSE EPRA/NAREIT Australia TR	AUD	1.9	2.9	2.9
Asia Property securities	FTSE EPRA/NAREIT Developed Asia TR	USD	-0.4	0.4	0.4
Global Property securities	FTSE EPRA/NAREIT Developed CR	USD	0.5	0.9	0.9
Currencies					
Euro		USD	0.3	1.6	1.6
Sterling		USD	-0.9	1.2	1.2
Yen		USD	0.5	-1.4	-1.4
Australian Dollar		USD	0.3	-3.1	-3.1
Rand		USD	-1.4	-7.8	-7.8
Commodities					
Commodities	RICI TR	USD	0.6	1.3	1.3
Agricultural Commodities	RICI Agriculture TR	USD	0.8	4.2	4.2
Oil	Brent Crude Index (ICE) CR	USD	0.9	4.9	4.9
Gold	Gold index	USD	-1.8	-6.5	-6.5

Important notes

RMB Asset Management is the trading name for RMB Asset Management International Limited. This document does not constitute an offer or solicitation to any person in any jurisdiction in which it is not authorised or permitted, or to anyone who would be an unlawful recipient, and is only intended for use by original recipients and addressees. The original recipient is solely responsible for any actions in further distributing this document, and should be satisfied in doing so that there is no breach of local legislation or regulation. The information is intended solely for use by our clients or prospective clients, and should not be reproduced or distributed except via original recipients acting as professional intermediaries. This document is not for distribution in the United States.

Prospective investors should inform themselves and if need be take appropriate advice regarding applicable legal, taxation and exchange control regulations in countries of their citizenship, residence or domicile which may be relevant to the acquisition, holding, transfer, redemption or disposal of any investments herein solicited.

Any opinions expressed herein are those at the date this material is issued. Data, models and other statistics are sourced from our own records, unless otherwise stated herein. We believe that the information contained is from reliable sources, but we do not guarantee the relevance, accuracy or completeness thereof. Unless otherwise provided under UK law, RMB Asset Management does not accept liability for irrelevant, inaccurate or incomplete information contained, or for the correctness of opinions expressed.

We caution that the value of investments in discretionary accounts, and the income derived, may fluctuate and it is possible that an investor may incur losses, including a loss of the principal invested. Past performance is not generally

indicative of future performance. Investors whose reference currency differs from that in which the underlying assets are invested may be subject to exchange rate movements that alter the value of their investments.

Our investment mandates in alternative strategies and hedge funds permit us to invest in unregulated funds that may be highly volatile. Although alternative strategies funds will seek to follow a wide diversification policy, these funds may be subject to sudden and/or large falls in value. The illiquid nature of the underlying funds is such that alternative strategies funds deal infrequently and require longer notice periods for redemptions. These Investments are therefore not readily realisable. If an alternative strategies fund fails to perform, it may not be possible to realise the investment without further loss in value. These unregulated funds may engage in the short selling of securities or may use a greater degree of gearing than is permitted for regulated funds (including the ability to borrow for a leverage strategy). A relatively small price movement may result in a disproportionately large movement in the investment value. The purpose of gearing is to achieve higher returns associated with larger investment exposures, but has concomitant exposure to loss if positive performance is not achieved. Reliable information about the value of an investment in an alternative strategies fund may not be available (other than at the fund's infrequent valuation points).

Under our multi-management arrangements, we selectively appoint underlying sub-investment managers and funds to actively manage underlying asset holdings in the pursuit of achieving mandated performance objectives. Annual investment management fees are payable both to the multimanager and the manager of the underlying assets at rates contained in the offering documents of the relevant portfolios (and may involve performance fees where expressly indicated therein).

RMB Asset Management International Limited (Company Registration No. 3733094) and has its registered office at 20 Gracechurch Street, London, EC3V 0BG

RMB Asset Management International Limited is authorised and regulated by the Financial Services Authority in the United Kingdom, and is an authorised Financial Services Provider pursuant to the Financial Advisory and Intermediary Services Act 37 of 2002 in South Africa.

© RMB Asset Management International Limited 2011